# Willows Intermediate School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year Published During 2013-14 

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## I. Data and Access

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012-13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)
School Contact Information

| School Name | Willows Intermediate School |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street | 1145 West Cedar Street |
| City, State, Zip | Willows, CA 95988 |
| Phone Number | (530) 934-6633 |
| Principal | Steve Sailsbery |
| E-mail Address | ssailsbery@willowsunified.org |
| CDS Code | 11-62661-6007611 |

## District Contact Information

| District Name | Willows Unified School District |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone Number | 530.934 .6600 |
| Web Site | www.willowsunified.org |
| Superintendent | Mort Geivett, Ed.D. |
| E-mail Address | kschmies@willowsunified.org |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Willows Intermediate School (WIS) is in the Willows Unified School District serving all 6, 7, and 8 grade students in the community. WIS is dedicated to maximizing the expertise and human potential of every teacher because we recognize that an exemplary staff, working as partners with parents, is the key to student development. WIS is a school-wide program striving to meet the needs of all students. With the influx of a variety of cultures, our school faces the challenge of providing for those divergent needs of our total school population. It is the philosophy of the school there be high expectations for all of its students; a sense of collegiality, community and high standards for order and discipline; and support and involvement of parents in the school. We refer to ourselves as a team, specifically, Team WIS and with that: Team WIS works collaboratively and strives to involve parents and the community to inspire students to achieve their greatest potential in order to Succeed!

It is the desire of our school community to provide a safe and enriched, student learning environment where:

- All students are unique and important.
- Education is a shared responsibility - requiring cooperation among the student, home, school, and community.
- All students can learn - given needed time and support.
- Learning is a lifelong process - requiring self-motivation, self-direction, flexibility, and adaptability.
- All students deserve a safe, caring environment in which to learn - promoting self-esteem, tolerance, and compassion.
- All students need to be problem solvers - having the ability to find, use, and evaluate information.
- Cooperation is vital for a productive role in society - requiring sensitivity, appreciation, and mutual respect.
- High expectations are essential for individuals to achieve their potential.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

We welcome parent involvement at Willows Intermediate School. Research shows that students whose parents are involved in their education generally are more successful in school and in life. You have many opportunities to become involved in your student's education through:

- Parent conferences
- Back to School Night
- Parent Booster Club
- English Language Advisory Meetings
- School Site Council
- Parent volunteer participation
- Fall magazine drive
- 8th grade graduation fundraisers

Please contact the school principal, Steve Sailsbery at 934-6633, to find out how you can participate.

## III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| English-Language Arts | 48 | 53 | 51 | 42 | 43 | 42 | 54 | 56 | 55 |
| Mathematics | 46 | 45 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 30 | 49 | 50 | 50 |
| Science | 52 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 39 | 57 | 60 | 59 |
| History-Social Science | 36 | 33 | 34 | 31 | 24 | 29 | 48 | 49 | 49 |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

| Group | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English-Language Arts | Mathematics | Science | History-Social Science |
| All Students in the LEA | 42 | 30 | 39 | 29 |
| All Student at the School | 51 | 36 | 49 | 34 |
| Male | 44 | 36 | 44 | 29 |
| Female | 58 | 36 | 53 | 39 |
| Black or African American |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 50 | 25 |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 39 | 33 | 36 | 29 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |
| White | 63 | 44 | 62 | 39 |
| Two or More Races |  |  |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 42 | 30 | 41 | 23 |
| English Learners | 18 | 17 | 14 | 11 |
| Students with Disabilities | 54 | 35 |  | 9 |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |  |  |  |  |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

| Grade <br> Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| 7 | 19.1 | 23.5 | 37.4 |

[^0]
## IV. Accountability

## Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000 , with a statewide target of 800 . For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10 . A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Similar Schools | 4 | 1 | 4 |

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

| Group | Actual API Change |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| All Students at the School | -15 | 17 | -4 |
| Black or African American |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | -34 |  |  |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 10 |  |  |
| White |  |  | -14 |
| Two or More Races | -24 |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | -28 |  |  |
| English Learners |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |  |

[^1]Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison
This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

| Group |  | 2013 Growth API |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  |  | Growth API | \# of Students | Growth API | \# of Students | Growth API |
| All Students at the School | 314 | 770 | 1,036 | 718 | $4,655,989$ | 790 |
| Black or African American | 2 |  | 11 | 677 | 296,463 | 708 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 8 |  | 27 | 632 | 30,394 | 743 |
| Asian | 19 | 724 | 62 | 702 | 406,527 | 906 |
| Filipino | 0 |  | 2 |  | 121,054 | 867 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 147 | 734 | 500 | 685 | $2,438,951$ | 744 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 3 |  | 6 |  | 25,351 | 774 |
| White | 135 | 818 | 424 | 761 | $1,200,127$ | 853 |
| Two or More Races | 0 |  | 3 |  | 125,025 | 824 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 205 | 731 | 707 | 684 | $2,774,640$ | 743 |
| English Learners | 108 | 674 | 374 | 656 | $1,482,316$ | 721 |
| Students with Disabilities | 33 | 651 | 109 | 589 | 527,476 | 615 |

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Made AYP Overall | No | No |
| Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate: Mathematics | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts | No | No |
| Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics | No | No |
| Met API Criteria | Yes | No |
| Met Graduation Rate (if applicable) | N/A | Yes |

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | $2009-2010$ | $2009-2010$ |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 5 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | --- | 3 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | --- | 100.0 |

## V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 6 | 107 |
| Grade 7 | 121 |
| Grade 8 | 124 |
| Total Enrollment | 352 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

| Group | Percent of <br> Total Enrollment | Proup <br> Total Enrollment of |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 0.6 | White | 42.3 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 3.1 | Two or More Races | 0.0 |
| Asian | 6.0 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 66.0 |
| Filipino | 0.0 | English Learners | 19.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | Students with Disabilities | 9.4 |  |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0.9 |  |  |

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| Grade Level | 2010-11 |  |  |  | 2011-12 |  |  |  | 2012-13 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| 5 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2010-11 |  |  |  | 2011-12 |  |  |  | 2012-13 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 22.3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Mathematics | 22.6 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 23.6 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Science | 23 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Social Science | 19.9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 5 |

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure - Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. Staff and students practice fire, earthquake, and the Code Red Lockdown each semester. Teachers and students are familiar with the procedures. Safety is a high priority. The plan was reviewed and updated October, 2012.

Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| Suspensions | 9.16 | 2.63 | 12.3 | 10.0 | 4.11 | 8.4 |
| Expulsions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | .25 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment $\times 100$.

## VI. School Facilities

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

| School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14) Year and month in which data were collected: 10-21-2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: <br> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Interior: <br> Interior Surfaces | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Cleanliness: <br> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Electrical: <br> Electrical | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: <br> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Safety: <br> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Structural: <br> Structural Damage, Roofs | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| External: <br> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |

Overall Facility Rate

| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [] | $[\mathrm{X}]$ | [] | [] |

## VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| With Full Credential | 20 | 19 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 66 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | --- |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | 2013-14 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.


## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

| Location of Classes |  | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |  |
| This School | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| All Schools in District | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

| Title | Number of FTE <br> Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor |  |  |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | .75 | --- |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) |  | --- |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0.488 | --- |
| Psychologist |  | --- |
| Social Worker |  | --- |
| Nurse |  | --- |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist |  | --- |
| Resource Specialist |  | --- |
| Other |  | --- |

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: 05-02-2013

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ <br> Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent <br> Adoption? | Percent of Students <br> Lacking Own <br> Assigned Copy |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | 6th grade - CA Treasures - McGraw Hill <br> 7th grade - CA Treasures - McGraw Hill <br> 8th grade - Second Course - Holt Lit. and Lang Arts <br> (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) <br> CA Treasures - McGraw Hill | 0 |  |
| Mathematics | 6th grade - Holt California - Course 1 - Numbers to <br> Algebra (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) <br> 7th \& 8th grade - Pre-Alg Holt California - Course 2 <br> (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) <br> 8th grade - Algebra I (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) | Yes |  |
| Science | Yth, 7th, 8th - Focus on Earth Science/Focus on Life <br> Science/Focus on Physical Science <br> Glenncoe/McGraw | Yes |  |
| History-Social Science | 6th, 7th, 8th grade - Ancient Civilization/Medieval to <br> Early Modern Times/U.S. History Independence <br> (Harcourt) | Yes |  |

## X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Supplemental/ <br> Restricted | Basic/ <br> Unrestricted | ( |
| School Site | 6,698 | 1,575 | 5,123 | $\$ 69,105$ |
| District | --- | --- |  | $\$ 70,032$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | --- | --- |  | -1.3 |
| State | --- | --- | $\$ 5,537$ | $\$ 58,606$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | --- | ---7.5 | 17.9 |  |

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education \& Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

We are required to report financial data from the 2011-12 school year by the California Dept. of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office. It is important to note when comparing Teacher and Administrative Salaries, these salaries include BOSS (Benefits on Salary Schedule).

## Spending per Student:

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2011-12 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials, economic impact aid, and teacher and principal training funds.

All students in grades five through eight have access to daily intervention classes in language arts and mathematics. Interventions are held before school, after school, and during the lunch time and are funded by Title I and Economic Impact Aid (EIA).

The supplemental programs are supported by restricted dollars employing one teacher, one counselor, and one paraprofessional and these programs are paid from Title I, Economic Impact Aid (EIA), and Rural and Low Income grants.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

| Category | District <br> Amount | State Average for <br> Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 52,081$ | $\$ 38,390$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 67,523$ | $\$ 55,793$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 81,349$ | $\$ 72,306$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 96,611$ | $\$ 88,846$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 101,630$ | $\$ 92,801$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 114,494$ | $\$ 95,916$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 125,543$ | $\$ 116,026$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $41.74 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $7.15 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

## Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Tehama, Butte, and Glenn County Offices of Education provide extensive opportunities for additional learning to include: Leadership Matters Workshop Series, Professional Learning Communities Training, and CA Treasures - McGraw Hill - Curriculum Training. Every other Wednesday is a schoolwide minimum day allowing teachers time to develop Professional Learning Communities and collaboration time.


[^0]:    Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

[^1]:    Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. " $C$ " means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

[^2]:    Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

